FAIRFIELD SCHOOL DISTRICT 1226 US Highway 321 Bypass South Winnsboro, SC 29180 PK-12 GRADES 3.432 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Walt Tobin, Transitional 803-635-4607 SUPERINTENDENT Superintendent BOARD CHAIR Ms. Annie E. McDaniel 803-635-6894 FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/County Council THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 0 0 **IMPROVEMENT RATING:** UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM												
		Our Distri	ct	Districts with Students Like Ours								
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003						
Passed all 3 subtests	49.5	53.2	43.6	55.0	53.8	51.3						
Passed 2 subtests	24.1	25.5	22.7	18.7	20.0	20.9						
Passed 1 subtest	15.6	18.6	18.8	15.0	14.4	15.9						
Passed no subtests	10.8	2.7	14.9	11.2	11.8	11.6						

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS											
Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours									
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.6	6.1									
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	5.6	6.2									
Seniors who met the grade point average	41.1	39.5									

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

PACT PERFORMANCI	E BY G R	OUP						
				alow Basic		Proficient of	/ ab	cient and cient and str
		ent lesting	lested old	"Basin	Basic oh	oficiel.	Advanced on Profi	cientanced Advanced
	Moll	24 of	(e) (e)	logg of	Box of	Sec 0/2	box Stoll	PGA10
	/ & <		0/0				olo	/ જ
Il students		97.7	47.5	39.7	iguage A 12.1	0.7	12.8	17.6
iender	1,761	97.7	47.5	39.7	12.1	0.7	12.0	17.0
lale	881	97.4	54.2	34.9	10.5	0.5	11.0	17.6
emale	881	97.8	40.8	44.8	13.5	0.9	14.4	17.6
lacial/Ethnic Group	001	37.0	40.0	77.0	10.0	0.5	17.7	17.0
/hite	214	98.1	24.7	50.0	24.1	1.3	25.3	17.6
frican-American	1,528	97.6	50.4	38.8	10.2	0.6	10.8	17.6
sian/Pacific Islander	1,520	100.0	00.4	00.0	10.2	0.0	10.0	17.6
ispanic	16	93.8	27.3	27.3	45.5		45.5	17.6
merican Indian/Alaskan	10	0.0	21.3	21.3	45.5		45.5	17.6
isability Status		0.0						17.0
ot disabled	1,391	98.8	44.0	41.4	13.8	0.8	14.6	17.6
isabled	371	93.0	65.6	30.8	3.6	0.0	3.6	17.6
igrant Status	371	33.0	00.0	30.0	0.0		0.0	17.0
grant		0.0						17.6
on-migrant	1,762	97.6	47.5	40.0	11.8	0.7	12.5	17.6
nglish Proficiency	1,702	31.0	41.5	40.0	11.0	0.1	12.0	17.0
nited English proficient		0.0						17.6
on-limited English proficient	1,762	97.6	47.4	40.0	11.9	0.7	12.6	17.6
ocio-Economic Status	1,702	97.0	47.4	40.0	11.9	0.7	12.0	17.0
bsidized meals	1,426	97.5	49.9	39.4	10.1	0.6	10.7	17.6
III-pay meals	336	97.9	35.3	42.4	21.0	1.3	22.3	17.6
ii paj modio	330	31.3	33.3	42.4	21.0	1.3	22.3	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
students	1,761	98.4	48.3	41.9	8.1	1.7	9.8	15.5
nder	1,701	00. т	10.0	11.5	J.,		0.0	10.0
ale	881	98.0	51.1	38.8	7.8	2.3	10.1	15.5
emale	881	98.8	45.3	45.0	8.4	1.3	9.8	15.5
cial/Ethnic Group	001	50.0	70.0	70.0	0.4	1.0	3.0	10.0
nite	214	99.5	31.9	51.3	11.9	5.0	16.9	15.5
rican-American	1,528	98.2	50.6	40.7	7.3	1.4	8.7	15.5
ian/Pacific Islander	1,520	100.0	00.0	10.7	1.5		0.,	15.5
spanic	16	93.8	18.2	54.5	27.3		27.3	15.5
nerican Indian/Alaskan	10	0.0	10.2	04.0	21.0		21.0	15.5
sability Status		0.0						10.0
Sability Status								
ot disabled	1.391	98.9	42.8	45.8	9.4	2.0	11.4	15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

47.9

47.8

51.1

34.2

42.0

42.2

40.6

48.5

8.3

8.3

7.2

13.1

1.8

1.7

1.2

4.2

10.1

10.0

8.4

17.3

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

0.0

98.4

0.0

98.4

98.7

97.0

1,762

1,762

1,426

336

Migrant Status Migrant

English Proficiency Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	1840J 0/0	0/085	9/0	0/0	o/o	6/0 blov
		/ • •			n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	296		39.3	42.9	17.5	0.4	17.8
	Grade 4	279		29.3	57.4	12.9	0.4	13.3
2	Grade 5	300		42.0	47.9	9.7	0.3	10.1
2002	Grade 6	294		39.4	45.0	13.8	1.8	15.6
	Grade 7	303		55.7	35.2	8.7	0.3	9.1
•	Grade 8	275		55.3	32.7	10.5	1.6	12.1
	Grade 3	298	98.3	25.5	45.1	26.8	2.6	29.4
	Grade 4	298	97.7	49.1	41.5	9.4		9.4
8	Grade 5	272	98.9	52.4	40.9	6.7		6.7
2003	Grade 6	303	96.7	44.1	40.9	13.6	1.4	15.0
	Grade 7	292	95.9	56.1	33.3	10.5		10.5
	Grade 8	299	98.3	58.2	36.8	5.0		5.0

	Mathematics												
	Grade 3	296		53.6	38.5	7.6	0.4	7.9					
	Grade 4	279		47.5	40.6	7.7	4.2	11.9					
8	Grade 5	300		52.4	36.7	8.0	2.8	10.8					
2002	Grade 6	294		52.5	39.4	6.7	1.4	8.2					
	Grade 7	303		69.6	25.6	3.8	1.0	4.8					
•	Grade 8	275		61.3	35.2	2.7	8.0	3.5					
	Grade 3	298	99.0	33.3	51.5	13.1	2.1	15.2					
	Grade 4	298	99.7	49.6	40.8	8.3	1.3	9.6					
8	Grade 5	272	99.3	44.7	46.2	7.2	1.9	9.1					
2003	Grade 6	303	96.7	49.3	36.5	10.5	3.7	14.2					
	Grade 7	292	96.9	58.3	33.8	6.7	1.3	7.9					
	Grade 8	299	98.7	53.8	42.9	2.9	0.4	3.3					

STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002												
	Reading		Language		Ma	Math		tal						
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation						
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0						
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0						
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0						

^{*} Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

			Percent of students scoring								
			Adva	anced	Proficient		Basic		Below Basic		
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25	
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14	
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

		m Passage Spring 2003		ity for LIFE larships*	Gradu	ation Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	180	90.0%	180	5.6%	215	74.9%
Gender						
Male	84	88.1%	75	10.7%	102	65.7%
Female	96	91.7%	105	1.9%	113	83.2%
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	146	89.0%	153	0.7%	185	73.5%
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	0	N/A
White	32	93.8%	26	34.6%	29	82.8%
Other	1	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	1	I/S	16	0.0%	28	57.1%
Students without disabilities	179	89.9%	164	6.1%	0	77.5%
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	1	I/S	180	5.6%	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	164	91.5%	180	5.6%	215	74.9%
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	80	92.5%	100	2.0%	3	I/S
Full-pay meals	83	91.6%	80	10.0%	212	33.0%

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Verbal		Ma	ath	Total		
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	417	423	432	432	849	855	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	English		Math		Reading		Science		Total	
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003
District	16.0	13.8	16.9	15.4	16.0	15.1	16.4	15.2	16.5	15.0
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

	2002	2003		2002	2003	
Geiger Elementary	Yes	Yes	Fairfield Intermediate	Yes	Yes	
Fairfield Primary	Yes	Yes				

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Mediar Distric
Students (n= 3,432)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	5.0%	Down from 5.6%	5.1%	4.0%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	94.0% N/A	Down from 98.3% N/A	95.1% N/A	95.4% N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	12.7% N/A	Up from 11.3% N/A	6.1% N/A	10.7% N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A 10.8%	N/A Down from 11.2%	N/A 10.5%	N/A 10.6%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	5.9% 1.6%	Up from 5.3% Up from 0.3%	6.8% 1.6%	5.5% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	9.6%	N/A	N/A	10.0%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs Completions in adult education GED	452 36	Up from 320 Down from 50	187 27	186
or diploma programs Teachers (n= 286)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	44.4%	Down from 50.5%	43.5%	47.8%
Continuing contract teachers	67.5%	Down from 76.4%	80.1%	82.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous yea	N/A ar 82.4%	N/A Down from 84.1%	N/A 87.2%	N/A 89.5%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.4% \$40,252	Down from 96.0% Down 0.6%	94.4% \$38,260	95.1% \$39,707
Prof. development days/teacher	12.7 days	Up from 7.8 days	12.1 days	11.3 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district Student-teacher ratio	2.0 20.2 to 1	Up from 1.0 Up from 18.6 to 1	2.0 19.8 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1
Prime instructional time	85.4%	Down from 93.1%	87.5%	89.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$9,518	Up 2.4%	\$7,822	\$7,412
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	50.7% Good	Up from 49.7% No change	53.9% Good	56.0% Excellen
Parents attending conferences Number of schools	95.6% 8	Up from 89.8% Down from 9	92.6% 5	96.1% 8
Number of magnet schools Number of charter schools	0	No change No change	0	0
Portable classrooms Average age in years of school facilit	2.8% y 25	Down from 6.6% N/A	4.9% 34	3.5% 26
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	8	N/A	4	8
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Our Dis	strict Sta	te
Highly qualified teachers in low pover	rty schools	N/A	N/A	4
Highly qualified teachers in high pove	erty schools	N/A	A N//	4
Į.	Abbreviations	s for Missing Data		
	t Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficie	ant Sample

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership

7 trustees elected to single-member seats

Fiscal Authority

District Board/County Council

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 11.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Fairfield County School District serves students who reside in the predominantly rural county of Fairfield, a county in which there is as a high level of poverty. The poverty level for single parent families with children from 3 to 17 years old is forty-four percent. Twenty-two percent of two-parent families live below the poverty level. These dismal statistics have not deterred the District from working hard every day to ensure that all of Fairfield County School District students receive a quality education. The administration, staff, and Board of Trustees have pledged to do whatever it will take to create world-class citizens.

The 2002-2003 school year has been a busy and challenging one. Three of our schools during the previous school term received an improvement rating of excellent, and the other schools' test data reflected significant gains, with the exception of two schools. One of our schools received the Red Carpet Award. Many of our students won State and County awards in academics and athletics. Several students earned national awards in various disciplines. Three of our teachers earned National Board Certification. We now have a total of four National Board Certified teachers. The majority of the district's teachers have spent many hours with the process of Curriculum Mapping. This process helped the teachers to implement the standards during their instructional delivery. Each school has established a Parenting Resource Center. These centers provide technology and materials for parents to use at their discretion. All of our elementary schools have been equipped with new computer labs. We have purchased new software to complement the State Standards. The Fine Arts, including dance, have become an integral part of our curriculum. Two district-wide productions were held this year. The community gave the productions rave reviews. All of our principals have received extensive professional development training in such areas as curriculum mapping, implementing and assessing the State Standards, test data analysis, and the principal's role in providing effective instructional leadership.

We are still working with the Education Task Force. The Task Force was formed last school term to work with the District in utilizing the abundance of human resources available in the county. The Task Force continues to be active in assisting the administration and Board in examining various educational programs and initiatives aimed at improving student learning.

Rose Hilliard-Wilder, Superintendent, Fairfield County

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal